

Trilinear Embedding Theorem for Elliptic Partial Differential Operators in Divergence Form with Complex Coefficients

Oliver Dragičević (U. of Ljubljana)

XI International Conference
of the Georgian Mathematical Union

Shota Rustaveli State University

Batumi, August 27, 2021

Elliptic partial differential operators (of 2nd order)

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $u = u(x, y)$ define the operator L by

$$-Lu = au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy}.$$

Elliptic partial differential operators (of 2nd order)

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $u = u(x, y)$ define the operator L by

$$-Lu = au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy}.$$

We say that L is **elliptic** if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite.

Elliptic partial differential operators (of 2nd order)

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $u = u(x, y)$ define the operator L by

$$-Lu = au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy}.$$

We say that L is **elliptic** if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite. Example: Laplace operator $-(\partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{yy}^2)$.

Elliptic partial differential operators (of 2nd order)

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $u = u(x, y)$ define the operator L by

$$-Lu = au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy}.$$

We say that L is **elliptic** if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite. Example: Laplace operator $-(\partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{yy}^2)$. Note:

$$\int \langle Lu, v \rangle = \int \langle A\nabla u, \nabla v \rangle.$$

Elliptic partial differential operators (of 2nd order)

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $u = u(x, y)$ define the operator L by

$$-Lu = au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy}.$$

We say that L is **elliptic** if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite. Example: Laplace operator $-(\partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{yy}^2)$. Note:

$$\int \langle Lu, v \rangle = \int \langle A\nabla u, \nabla v \rangle.$$

Generalizations:

Elliptic partial differential operators (of 2nd order)

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $u = u(x, y)$ define the operator L by

$$-Lu = au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy}.$$

We say that L is **elliptic** if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite. Example: Laplace operator $-(\partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{yy}^2)$. Note:

$$\int \langle Lu, v \rangle = \int \langle A\nabla u, \nabla v \rangle.$$

Generalizations:

- complex A ;

Elliptic partial differential operators (of 2nd order)

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $u = u(x, y)$ define the operator L by

$$-Lu = au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy}.$$

We say that L is **elliptic** if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite. Example: Laplace operator $-(\partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{yy}^2)$. Note:

$$\int \langle Lu, v \rangle = \int \langle A\nabla u, \nabla v \rangle.$$

Generalizations:

- complex A ;
- nonconstant A ;

Elliptic partial differential operators (of 2nd order)

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For $u = u(x, y)$ define the operator L by

$$-Lu = au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy}.$$

We say that L is **elliptic** if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

is positive definite. Example: Laplace operator $-(\partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{yy}^2)$. Note:

$$\int \langle Lu, v \rangle = \int \langle A\nabla u, \nabla v \rangle.$$

Generalizations:

- complex A ;
- nonconstant A ;
- higher dimensions.

p -ellipticity (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ bounded.

p -ellipticity (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ bounded. Set $\Lambda(A) := \|A\|_\infty$ and

$$\lambda(A) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

p -ellipticity (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ bounded. Set $\Lambda(A) := \|A\|_\infty$ and

$$\lambda(A) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

Classical ellipticity:

$$\lambda(A) > 0$$

p -ellipticity (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ bounded. Set $\Lambda(A) := \|A\|_\infty$ and

$$\lambda(A) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

Classical ellipticity:

$$\lambda(A) > 0$$

For $p \in [1, \infty]$ set

$$\Delta_p(A) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \langle A(x)\xi, \xi + |1 - 2/p|\bar{\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

p -ellipticity (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ bounded. Set $\Lambda(A) := \|A\|_\infty$ and

$$\lambda(A) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

Classical ellipticity:

$$\lambda(A) > 0$$

For $p \in [1, \infty]$ set

$$\Delta_p(A) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \langle A(x)\xi, \xi + |1 - 2/p|\bar{\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

p -ellipticity:

$$\Delta_p(A) > 0$$

“[...] calculations are often technically difficult but eventually yield extremely powerful and useful assertions concerning the smoothness of weak solutions. As always, the heart of each computation is the invocation of ellipticity:

the point is to derive analytic estimates from structural, algebraic assumption of ellipticity.”

(emphasis by L.E.)

“[...] calculations are often technically difficult but eventually yield extremely powerful and useful assertions concerning the smoothness of weak solutions. As always, the heart of each computation is the invocation of ellipticity:

the point is to derive analytic estimates from structural, algebraic assumption of ellipticity.”

(emphasis by L.E.)

p -ellipticity: may be of interest for the L^p theory of elliptic PDE.

Examples

- (i) convexity of power functions (Bellman functions),
- (ii) **dimension-free bilinear embeddings**,
- (iii) L^p -contractivity of semigroups,
- (iv) holomorphic functional calculus,
- (v) square function estimates,
- (vi) trilinear embeddings and Kato–Ponce inequalities
(with Kovač and Škreb).
- (vii) regularity theory of elliptic PDE with complex coefficients
(Dindoš-Pipher),

The class of p -elliptic matrices

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega) := \{\text{all } p\text{-elliptic matrices on } \Omega\}.$$

The class of p -elliptic matrices

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega) := \{\text{all } p\text{-elliptic matrices on } \Omega\}.$$

For $2 \leq p \leq r$ we have

$$\{\text{elliptic matrices on } \Omega\} = \mathcal{A}_2$$

The class of p -elliptic matrices

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega) := \{\text{all } p\text{-elliptic matrices on } \Omega\}.$$

For $2 \leq p \leq r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{elliptic matrices on } \Omega\} &= \mathcal{A}_2 \\ &\cup \\ &\mathcal{A}_p \\ &\cup \\ &\mathcal{A}_r \end{aligned}$$

The class of p -elliptic matrices

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega) := \{\text{all } p\text{-elliptic matrices on } \Omega\}.$$

For $2 \leq p \leq r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{elliptic matrices on } \Omega\} &= \mathcal{A}_2 \\ &\cup \\ &\mathcal{A}_p \\ &\cup \\ &\mathcal{A}_r \\ &\cup \\ &\bigcap_{s \in [2, \infty)} \mathcal{A}_s \end{aligned}$$

The class of p -elliptic matrices

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega) := \{\text{all } p\text{-elliptic matrices on } \Omega\}.$$

For $2 \leq p \leq r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{elliptic matrices on } \Omega\} &= \mathcal{A}_2 \\ &\cup \\ &\mathcal{A}_p \\ &\cup \\ &\mathcal{A}_r \\ &\cup \\ \{\text{real elliptic matrices on } \Omega\} &= \bigcap_{s \in [2, \infty)} \mathcal{A}_s \end{aligned}$$

The class of p -elliptic matrices

Obvious:

$$\Delta_p(A) = \Delta_q(A) \quad \text{if } 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

The class of p -elliptic matrices

Obvious:

$$\Delta_p(A) = \Delta_q(A) \quad \text{if } 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ set

$$\mu(A) := \operatorname{ess\,inf} \Re \frac{\langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle}{|\langle A(x)\xi, \bar{\xi} \rangle|};$$

ess inf over all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for which $\langle A(x)\xi, \bar{\xi} \rangle \neq 0$.

The key assumption $\Delta_p(A) > 0$ is equivalent to

$$|1 - 2/p| < \mu(A)$$

The class of p -elliptic matrices

Obvious:

$$\Delta_p(A) = \Delta_q(A) \quad \text{if } 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ set

$$\mu(A) := \operatorname{ess\,inf} \Re \frac{\langle A(x)\xi, \xi \rangle}{|\langle A(x)\xi, \bar{\xi} \rangle|};$$

ess inf over all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for which $\langle A(x)\xi, \bar{\xi} \rangle \neq 0$.

The key assumption $\Delta_p(A) > 0$ is equivalent to

$$|1 - 2/p| < \mu(A)$$

Furthermore: $\Delta_p(A)$ is Lipschitz continuous in both p and A .

The Dindoš–Pipher condition (2016)

For some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(A, p) > 0$ and almost all $x \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Re A(x)\lambda, \lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} + \langle \Re A(x)\eta, \eta \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} \\ & + \left\langle \left(\sqrt{p'/p} \Im A(x) - \sqrt{p/p'} \Im A(x)^T \right) \lambda, \eta \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} \\ & \geq \varepsilon (|\lambda|^2 + |\eta|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Here $p' = p/(p-1)$ is the conjugate exponent of p .

The Dindoš–Pipher condition (2016)

For some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(A, p) > 0$ and almost all $x \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Re A(x)\lambda, \lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} + \langle \Re A(x)\eta, \eta \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} \\ & + \left\langle \left(\sqrt{p'/p} \Im A(x) - \sqrt{p/p'} \Im A(x)^T \right) \lambda, \eta \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} \\ & \geq \varepsilon (|\lambda|^2 + |\eta|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Here $p' = p/(p-1)$ is the conjugate exponent of p .

It turned out:

$$\text{DP condition} \Leftrightarrow \Delta_p(A) > 0$$

The Dindoš–Pipher condition (2016)

For some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(A, p) > 0$ and almost all $x \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Re A(x)\lambda, \lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} + \langle \Re A(x)\eta, \eta \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} \\ & + \left\langle \left(\sqrt{p'/p} \Im A(x) - \sqrt{p/p'} \Im A(x)^T \right) \lambda, \eta \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} \\ & \geq \varepsilon (|\lambda|^2 + |\eta|^2) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Here $p' = p/(p-1)$ is the conjugate exponent of p .

It turned out:

$$\text{DP condition} \Leftrightarrow \Delta_p(A) > 0$$

The Dindoš–Pipher condition was derived as a strengthening of a condition by Cialdea–Maz'ya (2005).

p -ellipticity comes from studying (generalized) convexity properties of **power functions** of a single complex variable.

Study of power functions was motivated by our attempts to understand convexity of a particular **Bellman function** due to Nazarov and Treil, which comprises tensor products of power functions.

This was in turn pursued as a part of our (D.–Volberg 2011, Carbonaro–D. 2015) efforts to prove **bilinear embedding** theorem for arbitrary complex accretive matrices A .

Bilinear embedding theorem for divergence-form operators

Define

$$L_A u := -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u).$$

Operator semigroups: $\varphi(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f$ solves $\varphi' + \mathcal{L}\varphi = 0$, $\varphi(0) = f$.

Bilinear embedding theorem for divergence-form operators

Define

$$L_A u := -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u).$$

Operator semigroups: $\varphi(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f$ solves $\varphi' + \mathcal{L}\varphi = 0$, $\varphi(0) = f$.

Theorem (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

For $p > 1$, $q = p/(p-1)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla_x e^{-tL_A} f(x)| |\nabla_x e^{-tL_B} g(x)| \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{20}{\Delta_p} \cdot \frac{\Lambda}{\lambda} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q,$$

where $\Delta_p = \min\{\Delta_p(A), \Delta_p(B)\}$ in $\Lambda = \max\{\Lambda(A), \Lambda(B)\}$.

Bilinear embedding theorem for divergence-form operators

Define

$$L_A u := -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u).$$

Operator semigroups: $\varphi(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f$ solves $\varphi' + \mathcal{L}\varphi = 0$, $\varphi(0) = f$.

Theorem (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

For $p > 1$, $q = p/(p-1)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla_x e^{-tL_A} f(x)| |\nabla_x e^{-tL_B} g(x)| \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{20}{\Delta_p} \cdot \frac{\Lambda}{\lambda} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q,$$

where $\Delta_p = \min\{\Delta_p(A), \Delta_p(B)\}$ in $\Lambda = \max\{\Lambda(A), \Lambda(B)\}$.

Motivation: square function estimates (Auscher 2004).

Bilinear embedding theorem for divergence-form operators

Define

$$L_A u := -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u).$$

Operator semigroups: $\varphi(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f$ solves $\varphi' + \mathcal{L}\varphi = 0$, $\varphi(0) = f$.

Theorem (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

For $p > 1$, $q = p/(p-1)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla_x e^{-tL_A} f(x)| |\nabla_x e^{-tL_B} g(x)| \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{20}{\Delta_p} \cdot \frac{\Lambda}{\lambda} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q,$$

where $\Delta_p = \min\{\Delta_p(A), \Delta_p(B)\}$ in $\Lambda = \max\{\Lambda(A), \Lambda(B)\}$.

Motivation: square function estimates (Auscher 2004).

D.–Volberg (2007): $A = B$ **real** and $p \in (1, \infty)$.

Bilinear embedding theorem for divergence-form operators

Define

$$L_A u := -\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u).$$

Operator semigroups: $\varphi(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}} f$ solves $\varphi' + \mathcal{L}\varphi = 0$, $\varphi(0) = f$.

Theorem (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

For $p > 1$, $q = p/(p-1)$, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla_x e^{-tL_A} f(x)| |\nabla_x e^{-tL_B} g(x)| \, dx \, dt \leq \frac{20}{\Delta_p} \cdot \frac{\Lambda}{\lambda} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q,$$

where $\Delta_p = \min\{\Delta_p(A), \Delta_p(B)\}$ in $\Lambda = \max\{\Lambda(A), \Lambda(B)\}$.

Motivation: square function estimates (Auscher 2004).

D.–Volberg (2007): $A = B$ **real** and $p \in (1, \infty)$.

The condition $\Delta_p > 0$ is sharp (L^p **contractivity** of the semigroup).

Ahlfors – Beurling operator (Petermichl – Volberg 2002
& Nazarov – Volberg 2003)

$A = B$ real (D. – Volberg 2008)

$A, B = e^{i\phi} I$ (Carbonaro – D. 2012)

A, B arbitrary complex (Carbonaro – D. 2016)

A, B, Ω arbitrary (Carbonaro – D. 2018)

The heat flow method. Proof of the bilinear embedding.

Let $Q : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define $\mathcal{E} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q(e^{-tL_A}f, e^{-tL_B}g).$$

The heat flow method. Proof of the bilinear embedding.

Let $Q : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define $\mathcal{E} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q(e^{-tL_A} f, e^{-tL_B} g).$$

Estimate

$$- \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}'(t) dt$$

from below and above.

The heat flow method. Proof of the bilinear embedding.

Let $Q : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define $\mathcal{E} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q \left(e^{-tL_A} f, e^{-tL_B} g \right).$$

Estimate

$$- \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}'(t) dt$$

from below and above.

Upper estimate

Suppose that $0 \leq Q(\zeta, \eta) \leq \mathfrak{b}_0 (|\zeta|^p + |\eta|^q)$ for $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$- \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}'(t) dt \leq \mathcal{E}(0) \leq \mathfrak{b}_0 (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_q^q).$$

The heat flow method. Proof of the bilinear embedding.

Lower estimate

Integration by parts gives, for $h_t = (e^{-tL_A} f, e^{-tL_B} g)$,

$$- \mathcal{E}'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_Q^{(A,B)}[h_t; \nabla h_t]$$

Here, roughly speaking, the **generalized Hessian form** $H_Q^{(A,B)}$ is

$$H_Q^{(A,B)}[v; \omega] = \Re \left\langle d^2 Q(v) \omega, \begin{bmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{bmatrix} \omega \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n}$$

The heat flow method. Proof of the bilinear embedding.

Lower estimate

Integration by parts gives, for $h_t = (e^{-tL_A} f, e^{-tL_B} g)$,

$$- \mathcal{E}'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H_Q^{(A,B)}[h_t; \nabla h_t]$$

Here, roughly speaking, the **generalized Hessian form** $H_Q^{(A,B)}$ is

$$H_Q^{(A,B)}[v; \omega] = \Re \left\langle d^2 Q(v) \omega, \begin{bmatrix} A & \\ & B \end{bmatrix} \omega \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n}$$

Similarly: for $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote

$$H_F^A[\zeta; \xi] = \Re \left\langle d^2 F(\zeta) \xi, A \xi \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}.$$

The heat flow method. Proof of the bilinear embedding.

Suppose additionally that

$$H_Q^{(A,B)}[v; (\alpha, \beta)] \geq \alpha_0 |\alpha| |\beta|$$

for $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then

$$\alpha_0 \int_0^\infty \langle |\nabla e^{-tL_A} f|, |\nabla e^{-tL_B} g| \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt \leq - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}'(t) dt .$$

The heat flow method. Proof of the bilinear embedding.

Suppose additionally that

$$H_Q^{(A,B)}[v; (\alpha, \beta)] \geq \mathfrak{a}_0 |\alpha| |\beta|$$

for $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 \int_0^\infty \left\langle |\nabla e^{-tL_A} f|, |\nabla e^{-tL_B} g| \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt \leq - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}'(t) dt.$$

We (almost) get the bilinear embedding:

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 \int_0^\infty \left\langle |\nabla e^{-tL_A} f|, |\nabla e^{-tL_B} g| \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt \leq \mathfrak{b}_0 (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_q^q).$$

The heat flow method. Proof of the bilinear embedding.

Suppose additionally that

$$H_Q^{(A,B)}[v; (\alpha, \beta)] \geq \mathfrak{a}_0 |\alpha| |\beta|$$

for $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 \int_0^\infty \left\langle |\nabla e^{-tL_A} f|, |\nabla e^{-tL_B} g| \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt \leq - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{E}'(t) dt.$$

We (almost) get the bilinear embedding:

$$\mathfrak{a}_0 \int_0^\infty \left\langle |\nabla e^{-tL_A} f|, |\nabla e^{-tL_B} g| \right\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt \leq \mathfrak{b}_0 (\|f\|_p^p + \|g\|_q^q).$$

Replace $f \rightarrow \sigma f$, $g \rightarrow \sigma^{-1} g$ and maximize in $\sigma > 0$. □

The heat flow method - SUMMARY

Bilinear embedding reduces to finding a function $Q : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of order C^2 such that:

(i) the corresponding flow is regular;

(ii) for all $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$:

$$0 \leq Q(\zeta, \eta) \lesssim |\zeta|^p + |\eta|^q$$

(iii) for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$:

$$H_Q^{(A,B)}[v; (\alpha, \beta)] \gtrsim |\alpha||\beta|.$$

The heat flow method - SUMMARY

Bilinear embedding reduces to finding a function $Q : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of order C^2 such that:

(i) the corresponding flow is regular;

(ii) for all $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$:

$$0 \leq Q(\zeta, \eta) \lesssim |\zeta|^p + |\eta|^q$$

(iii) for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$:

$$H_Q^{(A,B)}[v; (\alpha, \beta)] \gtrsim |\alpha||\beta|.$$

When $H_Q^{(A,B)}$ is the usual Hessian matrix of Q (the case $A = B \equiv I$), a suitable function Q is **already known to exist** (\rightarrow a natural starting point).

The Nazarov–Treil function

Bellman function method: Nazarov–Treil–Volberg 1994

The Nazarov–Treil function

Bellman function method: Nazarov–Treil–Volberg 1994

An early concrete example:

Nazarov–Treil (1995) + simplification D.–Volberg (2008)

Let $p \geq 2$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $\delta > 0$.

Define the *Bellman function* $Q = Q_{p,\delta} : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as

$$Q(\zeta, \eta) = |\zeta|^p + |\eta|^q + \delta \begin{cases} |\zeta|^2 |\eta|^{2-q} & ; |\zeta|^p \leq |\eta|^q \\ \frac{2}{p} |\zeta|^p + \left(\frac{2}{q} - 1\right) |\eta|^q & ; |\zeta|^p \geq |\eta|^q. \end{cases}$$

The Nazarov–Treil function

Bellman function method: Nazarov–Treil–Volberg 1994

An early concrete example:

Nazarov–Treil (1995) + simplification D.–Volberg (2008)

Let $p \geq 2$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and $\delta > 0$.

Define the *Bellman function* $Q = Q_{p,\delta} : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ as

$$Q(\zeta, \eta) = |\zeta|^p + |\eta|^q + \delta \begin{cases} |\zeta|^2 |\eta|^{2-q} & ; |\zeta|^p \leq |\eta|^q \\ \frac{2}{p} |\zeta|^p + \left(\frac{2}{q} - 1\right) |\eta|^q & ; |\zeta|^p \geq |\eta|^q. \end{cases}$$

Structural feature: tensor products of **power functions**.

Origin of p -ellipticity

In the **good region** $\{|\zeta|^p \geq |\eta|^q\}$ we have

$$Q = c_1|\zeta|^p + c_2|\eta|^q.$$

Origin of p -ellipticity

In the **good region** $\{|\zeta|^p \geq |\eta|^q\}$ we have

$$Q = c_1|\zeta|^p + c_2|\eta|^q.$$

Hence

$$(A \oplus B)^T d^2 Q = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 A^T d^2 |\zeta|^p & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 B^T d^2 |\eta|^q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Origin of p -ellipticity

In the **good region** $\{|\zeta|^p \geq |\eta|^q\}$ we have

$$Q = c_1|\zeta|^p + c_2|\eta|^q.$$

Hence

$$(A \oplus B)^T d^2 Q = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 A^T d^2 |\zeta|^p & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 B^T d^2 |\eta|^q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus the positivity of $A^T d^2 |\zeta|^p$ and $B^T d^2 |\eta|^q$ is **necessary** for the *quantitative positivity* of $(A \oplus B)^T d^2 Q$.

Origin of p -ellipticity

In the **good region** $\{|\zeta|^p \geq |\eta|^q\}$ we have

$$Q = c_1|\zeta|^p + c_2|\eta|^q.$$

Hence

$$(A \oplus B)^T d^2 Q = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 A^T d^2 |\zeta|^p & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 B^T d^2 |\eta|^q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus the positivity of $A^T d^2 |\zeta|^p$ and $B^T d^2 |\eta|^q$ is **necessary** for the *quantitative positivity* of $(A \oplus B)^T d^2 Q$.

Idea: could it also be **sufficient**?

Origin of p -ellipticity

In the **good region** $\{|\zeta|^p \geq |\eta|^q\}$ we have

$$Q = c_1|\zeta|^p + c_2|\eta|^q.$$

Hence

$$(A \oplus B)^T d^2 Q = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 A^T d^2 |\zeta|^p & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 B^T d^2 |\eta|^q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus the positivity of $A^T d^2 |\zeta|^p$ and $B^T d^2 |\eta|^q$ is **necessary** for the *quantitative positivity* of $(A \oplus B)^T d^2 Q$.

Idea: could it also be **sufficient**?

Problem: in the **bad region** $\{|\zeta|^p \leq |\eta|^q\}$ we have

$$Q = |\zeta|^p + |\eta|^q + c_3 |\zeta|^2 |\eta|^{2-q}.$$

More complicated Hessian of Q .

Original definition of p -ellipticity

Power functions

For $r \geq 0$ define $F_r(\zeta) = |\zeta|^r$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Original definition of p -ellipticity

Power functions

For $r \geq 0$ define $F_r(\zeta) = |\zeta|^r$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

$$\Delta_p(A) = \frac{2}{p^2} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \min_{|\zeta|=1} H_{F_p}^{A(x)}[\zeta; \xi].$$

Original definition of p -ellipticity

Power functions

For $r \geq 0$ define $F_r(\zeta) = |\zeta|^r$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

$$\Delta_p(A) = \frac{2}{p^2} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \min_{|\zeta|=1} H_{F_p}^{A(x)}[\zeta; \xi].$$

Recall:

$$\Delta_p(A) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left\langle A(x)\xi, \xi + |1 - 2/p|\bar{\xi} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}.$$

Original definition of p -ellipticity

Power functions

For $r \geq 0$ define $F_r(\zeta) = |\zeta|^r$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

$$\Delta_p(A) = \frac{2}{p^2} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \min_{|\zeta|=1} H_{F_p}^{A(x)}[\zeta; \xi].$$

Recall:

$$\Delta_p(A) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left\langle A(x)\xi, \xi + |1 - 2/p|\bar{\xi} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}.$$

Equivalence of the above?

Original definition of p -ellipticity

Power functions

For $r \geq 0$ define $F_r(\zeta) = |\zeta|^r$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition (Carbonaro–D. 2015)

$$\Delta_p(A) = \frac{2}{p^2} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \min_{|\zeta|=1} H_{F_p}^{A(x)}[\zeta; \xi].$$

Recall:

$$\Delta_p(A) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left\langle A(x)\xi, \xi + |1 - 2/p|\bar{\xi} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}.$$

Equivalence of the above?

Recall: $\Delta_p(A)$ is a sesquilinear form generated by A and the **Hessian matrix of the power function** $F_p(\zeta) = |\zeta|^p$

Hessian of the power function $F_p(x_1, x_2) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{p/2}$

How to calculate the 2×2 Hessian matrix of F_p ?

How to calculate the 2×2 Hessian matrix of F_p ?

For $\varsigma \in \mathbb{C}^n$ set $\mathcal{J}_p \varsigma = \varsigma + (1 - 2/p)\bar{\varsigma}$.

Theorem

For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi = \frac{p^2}{2} |\zeta|^{p-2} (\text{sign } \zeta) \mathcal{J}_p(\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi).$$

Theorem

For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi = \frac{p^2}{2} |\zeta|^{p-2} (\text{sign } \zeta) \mathcal{J}_p (\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi).$$

Corollary

$$\langle A\xi, d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi \rangle =$$

Theorem

For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi = \frac{p^2}{2} |\zeta|^{p-2} (\text{sign } \zeta) \mathcal{J}_p(\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi).$$

Corollary

$$\langle A\xi, d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi \rangle = \frac{p^2}{2} |\zeta|^{p-2} \langle A(\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi), \mathcal{J}_p(\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi) \rangle.$$

Theorem

For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi = \frac{p^2}{2} |\zeta|^{p-2} (\text{sign } \zeta) \mathcal{J}_p(\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi).$$

Corollary

$$\langle A\xi, d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi \rangle = \frac{p^2}{2} |\zeta|^{p-2} \langle A(\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi), \mathcal{J}_p(\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi) \rangle.$$

Corollary

For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$,

$$\Delta_p(A) = \frac{2}{p^2} \min_{|\xi|=1} \min_{|\zeta|=1} \Re \langle A\xi, d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi \rangle =$$

Theorem

For any $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi = \frac{p^2}{2} |\zeta|^{p-2} (\text{sign } \zeta) \mathcal{J}_p (\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi).$$

Corollary

$$\langle A\xi, d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi \rangle = \frac{p^2}{2} |\zeta|^{p-2} \langle A(\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi), \mathcal{J}_p(\text{sign } \bar{\zeta} \cdot \xi) \rangle.$$

Corollary

For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$,

$$\Delta_p(A) = \frac{2}{p^2} \min_{|\xi|=1} \min_{|\zeta|=1} \Re \langle A\xi, d^2 F_p(\zeta)\xi \rangle = \min_{|\sigma|=1} \Re \langle A\sigma, \mathcal{J}_p\sigma \rangle.$$

Theorem

If $p \geq 2$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_p(\Omega) > 0$ then, a.e. Ω ,

$$H_Q^{(A,B)}[v; (\omega_1, \omega_2)] \gtrsim |\omega_1| |\omega_2|,$$

for some $\delta = \delta(\Delta_p, \lambda, \Lambda) \in (0, 1)$ and $Q = Q_{p,\delta}$ as above.

From L^p contractivity to p -ellipticity (a shortcut)

- $(e^{-tL})_{t>0}$ is L^p -contractive on a Banach space X

From L^p contractivity to p -ellipticity (a shortcut)

- $(e^{-tL})_{t>0}$ is L^p -contractive on a Banach space X
 $\iff \|e^{-tL}f\|_X$ decreases with t

From L^p contractivity to p -ellipticity (a shortcut)

- $(e^{-tL})_{t>0}$ is L^p -contractive on a Banach space X
 $\iff \|e^{-tL}f\|_X$ decreases with t
- Consider $X = L^p(\Omega, dm)$:

$$\|g\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} F_p(g) dm \right)^{1/p}$$

From L^p contractivity to p -ellipticity (a shortcut)

- $(e^{-tL})_{t>0}$ is L^p -contractive on a Banach space X

$$\iff \|e^{-tL}f\|_X \text{ decreases with } t$$

- Consider $X = L^p(\Omega, dm)$:

$$\|g\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} F_p(g) dm \right)^{1/p}$$

... and $Lu = -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)$. Then for $g = e^{-tL_A}f$ we expect

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \|e^{-tL_A}f\|_p^p$$

From L^p contractivity to p -ellipticity (a shortcut)

- $(e^{-tL})_{t>0}$ is L^p -contractive on a Banach space X

$$\iff \|e^{-tL}f\|_X \text{ decreases with } t$$

- Consider $X = L^p(\Omega, dm)$:

$$\|g\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} F_p(g) dm \right)^{1/p}$$

... and $Lu = -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)$. Then for $g = e^{-tL_A}f$ we expect

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \|e^{-tL_A}f\|_p^p = \Re \int_{\Omega} \langle A\nabla g, 2\nabla[(\partial_{\bar{z}} F_p)(g)] \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

From L^p contractivity to p -ellipticity (a shortcut)

- $(e^{-tL})_{t>0}$ is L^p -contractive on a Banach space X

$$\iff \|e^{-tL}f\|_X \text{ decreases with } t$$

- Consider $X = L^p(\Omega, dm)$:

$$\|g\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} F_p(g) dm \right)^{1/p}$$

... and $Lu = -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)$. Then for $g = e^{-tL_A}f$ we expect

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \|e^{-tL_A}f\|_p^p &= \Re \int_{\Omega} \langle A\nabla g, 2\nabla[(\partial_{\bar{z}} F_p)(g)] \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Re \langle A\nabla g, [d^2 F_p(g) \otimes I_n](\nabla g) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \end{aligned}$$

From L^p contractivity to p -ellipticity (a shortcut)

- $(e^{-tL})_{t>0}$ is L^p -contractive on a Banach space X

$$\iff \|e^{-tL}f\|_X \text{ decreases with } t$$

- Consider $X = L^p(\Omega, dm)$:

$$\|g\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} F_p(g) dm \right)^{1/p}$$

... and $Lu = -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)$. Then for $g = e^{-tL_A}f$ we expect

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \|e^{-tL_A}f\|_p^p &= \Re \int_{\Omega} \langle A\nabla g, 2\nabla[(\partial_{\bar{z}} F_p)(g)] \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Re \langle A\nabla g, [d^2 F_p(g) \otimes I_n](\nabla g) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \frac{p}{2} \int_{\Omega} |g|^{p-2} \Re \langle A(\operatorname{sign} \bar{g} \cdot \nabla g), \mathcal{J}_p(\operatorname{sign} \bar{g} \cdot \nabla g) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

From L^p contractivity to p -ellipticity (a shortcut)

- $(e^{-tL})_{t>0}$ is L^p -contractive on a Banach space X

$$\iff \|e^{-tL}f\|_X \text{ decreases with } t$$

- Consider $X = L^p(\Omega, dm)$:

$$\|g\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} F_p(g) dm \right)^{1/p}$$

... and $Lu = -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)$. Then for $g = e^{-tL_A}f$ we expect

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \|e^{-tL_A}f\|_p^p &= \Re \int_{\Omega} \langle A\nabla g, 2\nabla[(\partial_{\bar{z}} F_p)(g)] \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \Re \langle A\nabla g, [d^2 F_p(g) \otimes I_n](\nabla g) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \frac{p}{2} \int_{\Omega} |g|^{p-2} \Re \langle A(\operatorname{sign} \bar{g} \cdot \nabla g), \mathcal{J}_p(\operatorname{sign} \bar{g} \cdot \nabla g) \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Exact proof based on Nittka (2012).

Example: Spectral multipliers for generators of symmetric contraction semigroups

Origin of the theory: Stein 1970

(Ω, ν) : σ -finite measure space

\mathcal{A} : a nonnegative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\Omega, \nu)$.

For $t > 0$ define

$$P_t := e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$$

We assume that $(P_t)_{t>0}$ is **symmetric contraction semigroup**:

for all $t > 0$ and all $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$\|P_t f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \quad \forall f \in L^p(\Omega, \nu) \cap L^2(\Omega, \nu).$$

E : the spectral decomposition of \mathcal{A} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

E : the spectral decomposition of \mathcal{A} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

If $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the operator

$$m(\mathcal{A}) = \int_0^\infty m(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$$

is bounded on $L^2(\Omega, \nu)$.

E : the spectral decomposition of \mathcal{A} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

If $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the operator

$$m(\mathcal{A}) = \int_0^\infty m(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$$

is bounded on $L^2(\Omega, \nu)$.

What about $p \neq 2$?

E : the spectral decomposition of \mathcal{A} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

If $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the operator

$$m(\mathcal{A}) = \int_0^\infty m(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$$

is bounded on $L^2(\Omega, \nu)$.

What about $p \neq 2$?

L^p spectral multipliers for \mathcal{A}

$$m \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathcal{A}) \quad \overset{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad m(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Omega, \nu)).$$

E : the spectral decomposition of \mathcal{A} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

If $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the operator

$$m(\mathcal{A}) = \int_0^\infty m(\lambda) dE(\lambda)$$

is bounded on $L^2(\Omega, \nu)$.

What about $p \neq 2$?

L^p spectral multipliers for \mathcal{A}

$$m \in \mathcal{M}_p(\mathcal{A}) \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \quad m(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{B}(L^p(\Omega, \nu)).$$

C. Fefferman (1971): for $n > 1$ we have

$$\chi_{(-1,1)} \in \mathcal{M}_p(-\Delta_n) \quad \iff \quad p = 2$$

Multiplier theorem (Carbonaro–D. 2012)

QUESTION: the smallest angle ϑ for which there is Hörmander-type holomorphic functional calculus on L^p in the sector \mathbf{S}_ϑ for **all** generators of symmetric contraction semigroups?

Multiplier theorem (Carbonaro–D. 2012)

QUESTION: the smallest angle ϑ for which there is Hörmander-type holomorphic functional calculus on L^p in the sector \mathbf{S}_ϑ for **all** generators of symmetric contraction semigroups?

Theorem

The optimal angle is $\vartheta = \arcsin |1 - 2/p|$.

Multiplier theorem (Carbonaro–D. 2012)

QUESTION: the smallest angle ϑ for which there is Hörmander-type holomorphic functional calculus on L^p in the sector \mathbf{S}_ϑ for **all** generators of symmetric contraction semigroups?

Theorem

The optimal angle is $\vartheta = \arcsin |1 - 2/p|$.

New approach: combination of **heat flow** and **Bellman functions**.

Reduces the proof, via **bilinear embedding with complex time**, to

finding the best $\phi = \pi/2 - \vartheta$ for which $e^{i\phi}I$ is p -elliptic.

Multiplier theorem (Carbonaro–D. 2012)

QUESTION: the smallest angle ϑ for which there is Hörmander-type holomorphic functional calculus on L^p in the sector \mathbf{S}_ϑ for **all** generators of symmetric contraction semigroups?

Theorem

The optimal angle is $\vartheta = \arcsin |1 - 2/p|$.

New approach: combination of **heat flow** and **Bellman functions**.

Reduces the proof, via **bilinear embedding with complex time**, to

finding the best $\phi = \pi/2 - \vartheta$ for which $e^{i\phi}I$ is p -elliptic.

$$\Delta_p(e^{i\phi}I) = ?$$

Calculation of $\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I)$

$$\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I) = \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \langle e^{i\phi} \xi, \xi + |1 - 2/p| \bar{\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

Calculation of $\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I)$

$$\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I) = \min_{|\xi|=1} \underbrace{\Re \langle e^{i\phi} \xi, \xi + |1 - 2/p| \bar{\xi} \rangle}_{\cos \phi} \mathbb{C}^n$$

Calculation of $\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I)$

$$\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I) = \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left\langle e^{i\phi} \underbrace{\xi, \xi}_{\cos \phi} + |1 - 2/p| \bar{\xi} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

Calculation of $\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I) &= \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left\langle e^{i\phi} \underbrace{\xi, \xi}_{\cos \phi} + |1 - 2/p| \bar{\xi} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \cos \phi + |1 - 2/p| \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left[e^{i\phi} \langle \xi, \bar{\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right]\end{aligned}$$

Calculation of $\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I) &= \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left\langle e^{i\phi} \underbrace{\xi, \xi}_{\cos \phi} + |1 - 2/p| \underbrace{\xi, \bar{\xi}}_1 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \cos \phi + |1 - 2/p| \underbrace{\min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left[e^{i\phi} \langle \xi, \bar{\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right]}_{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Calculation of $\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_p(e^{i\phi} I) &= \min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left\langle e^{i\phi} \underbrace{\xi, \xi}_{\cos \phi} + |1 - 2/p| \underbrace{\xi, \bar{\xi}}_1 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \\ &= \cos \phi + |1 - 2/p| \underbrace{\min_{|\xi|=1} \Re \left[e^{i\phi} \langle \xi, \bar{\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^n} \right]}_{-1} \\ &= \cos \phi - |1 - 2/p|.\end{aligned}$$

Trilinear embedding for complex elliptic operators

Theorem (Carbonaro – D. – Kovač – Škreb 2020)

Let $p, q, r \in (1, \infty)$ satisfy $1/p + 1/q + 1/r = 1$. Assume that the matrices $A, B, C : \Omega^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are $\max\{p, q, r\}$ -elliptic. Let L_A be the elliptic operator $u \mapsto -\operatorname{div}(A\nabla u)$ subject to either Dirichlet, Neumann or mixed boundary conditions on Ω . Then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega |\nabla e^{-tL_A} f| |\nabla e^{-tL_B} g| |e^{-tL_C} h| \, dx \, dt \lesssim \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \|h\|_r.$$

When $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, the theorem holds under weaker conditions, i.e.:

- A is p -elliptic and $(1 + p/q)$ -elliptic
- B is q -elliptic and $(1 + q/p)$ -elliptic
- C is r -elliptic.

Comments

- Trilinear embedding \Rightarrow bilinear embedding.

Comments

- Trilinear embedding \Rightarrow bilinear embedding.
- No more symmetry with respect to the conjugation of p .

Comments

- Trilinear embedding \Rightarrow bilinear embedding.
- No more symmetry with respect to the conjugation of p .
- Proof: heat flow + Bellman function. We need **three variables**.

The Kovač-Škreb function (2018)

The Kovač-Škreb function (2018)

Write $q/r = 1 + 2\varepsilon$ and define

$\mathfrak{X}(u, v, w) :=$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} D|u|^p + |v|^q + E|w|^r; & |u|^p \leq |w|^r \leq |v|^q, \\ \left(D - \frac{E}{p-1}\right)|u|^p + |v|^q + \frac{Ep}{p-1}|u||w|^{r-r/p}; & |w|^r \leq |u|^p \leq |v|^q, \\ \left(D - \frac{E+1}{p-1}\right)|u|^p + \frac{p}{p-1}|u||v|^{q-q/p} + \frac{Ep}{p-1}|u||w|^{r-r/p}; & |w|^r \leq |v|^q \leq |u|^p, \\ \left(D - \frac{E+1}{p-1}\right)|u|^p + \frac{q}{2}|u||v|^2|w|^{1-r/q} + (E-\varepsilon)\frac{p}{p-1}|u||w|^{r-r/p}; & |v|^q \leq |w|^r \leq |u|^p, \\ \left(D - \frac{q}{2p}\right)|u|^p + \frac{q^2}{2p(q-2)}|u|^{p-2p/q}|v|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon q}{q-2}|v|^2|w|^{r-2r/q} \\ \quad + (E-\varepsilon)|w|^r; & |v|^q \leq |u|^p \leq |w|^r, \\ D|u|^p + \frac{q}{p(q-2)}|v|^q + \frac{\varepsilon q}{q-2}|v|^2|w|^{r-2r/q} + (E-\varepsilon)|w|^r; & |u|^p \leq |v|^q \leq |w|^r. \end{array} \right.$$

Generalized convexity of the Kovač-Škreb function

Theorem (Carbonaro – D. – Kovač – Škreb 2020)

Under the conditions on p, q, r, A, B, C , specified in the trilinear embedding theorem, there exist $D, E > 0$ such that \mathfrak{x} satisfies:

a) *for all $u, v, w \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\mathfrak{x}(u, v, w) \lesssim |u|^p + |v|^q + |w|^r;$$

b) *for almost every $x \in \Omega$,*

$$H_{\mathfrak{x}}^{(A,B,C)(x)}[(u, v, w); (\zeta, \eta, \xi)] \gtrsim |w||\zeta||\eta|$$

for all $(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \Upsilon$ and $(\zeta, \eta, \xi) \in (\mathbb{C}^d)^3$.

The implied constants depend on p, q, r and $$ -ellipticity constants of A, B, C alluded to in the theorem's assumptions.*

Theorem (Carbonaro – D. – Kovač – Škreb 2021)

Let $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, \wp \in (1, \infty)$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{\wp}.$$

If A is $\max\{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, \wp'\}$ -elliptic and $\beta \in (0, 1/\wp)$, then

$$\left\| L_A^\beta(fg) \right\|_\wp \lesssim \left\| L_A^\beta f \right\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{q_1} + \|f\|_{p_2} \left\| L_A^\beta g \right\|_{q_2}.$$

Theorem (Carbonaro – D. – Kovač – Škreb 2021)

Let $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, \wp \in (1, \infty)$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{\wp}.$$

If A is $\max\{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, \wp'\}$ -elliptic and $\beta \in (0, 1/\wp)$, then

$$\left\| L_A^\beta(fg) \right\|_\wp \lesssim \left\| L_A^\beta f \right\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{q_1} + \|f\|_{p_2} \left\| L_A^\beta g \right\|_{q_2}.$$

- Interpretation of L_A^β : functional calculus for *sectorial operators*.

Theorem (Carbonaro – D. – Kovač – Škreb 2021)

Let $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, \wp \in (1, \infty)$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{\wp}.$$

If A is $\max\{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, \wp'\}$ -elliptic and $\beta \in (0, 1/\wp)$, then

$$\left\| L_A^\beta(fg) \right\|_\wp \lesssim \left\| L_A^\beta f \right\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{q_1} + \|f\|_{p_2} \left\| L_A^\beta g \right\|_{q_2}.$$

- Interpretation of L_A^β : functional calculus for *sectorial operators*.
- We do not include the case $p_2, q_1 = \infty$.

Theorem (Carbonaro – D. – Kovač – Škreb 2021)

Let $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, \wp \in (1, \infty)$ be such that

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2} = \frac{1}{\wp}.$$

If A is $\max\{p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2, \wp'\}$ -elliptic and $\beta \in (0, 1/\wp)$, then

$$\left\| L_A^\beta(fg) \right\|_\wp \lesssim \left\| L_A^\beta f \right\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{q_1} + \|f\|_{p_2} \left\| L_A^\beta g \right\|_{q_2}.$$

- Interpretation of L_A^β : functional calculus for *sectorial operators*.
- We do not include the case $p_2, q_1 = \infty$.
- A new approach to Kato–Ponce inequalities.

Elements of the proof of the Kato–Ponce inequality

Elements of the proof:

- Dualization: for $1/\varphi + 1/r = 1$ it is enough to prove

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} fg \overline{L_{A^*}^{\beta} h} dx \right| \lesssim \left(\|L_A^{\beta} f\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{q_1} + \|f\|_{p_2} \|L_A^{\beta} g\|_{q_2} \right) \|h\|_r.$$

- Splitting the left-hand side by *Calderón reproducing formula*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} fg \overline{L_{A^*}^{\beta} h} dx \\ &= - \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\phi_{\alpha}(tL_A) f \cdot \phi_{\alpha}(tL_A) g \cdot \overline{\phi_{\alpha}(tL_{A^*}) L_{A^*}^{\beta} h} \right) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_{\alpha}(z) = z^{\alpha} e^{-z}$ and

$$\phi_{\alpha}(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_1^{\infty} \psi_{\alpha}(sz) \frac{ds}{s}.$$

Elements of the proof of the Kato–Ponce inequality

- By
 - (i) differentiating the right-hand side above,
 - (ii) splitting/decomposing further,
 - (iii) integrating by parts (definition of L_A)

we eventually arrive at the crucial term to be estimated:

$$J'_3 = \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \overline{\psi_{\alpha-1}(tL_{A^*})L_{A^*}^\beta h} \int_t^\infty \langle (A + A^T)\nabla L_A \psi_{\alpha-1}(sL_A)f, \nabla \overline{\phi_\alpha(sL_A)g} \rangle ds dx dt.$$

Elements of the proof of the Kato–Ponce inequality

- By *subordination to imaginary powers of L_A* (via the inversion formula for the *Mellin transform*) we end up estimating

$$\int_1^\infty s^{\beta-1} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \left| \nabla e^{-stL_A} L_A^{iu_1} L_A^\beta f \right| \left| \nabla e^{-stL_A} L_A^{iu_2} g \right| \left| e^{-tL_{A^*}} L_{A^*}^{iu_3} h \right| dx dt \right) ds.$$

for $u_{1,2,3} \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Thus the proof will be finished once we obtain
 - (i) trilinear embedding with **adequate control of the embedding constants for (sA, sB, C) in terms of $s > 0$** , and
 - (ii) boundedness of *imaginary powers of L_A* .

Elements of the proof of the Kato–Ponce inequality

- By *subordination to imaginary powers of L_A* (via the inversion formula for the *Mellin transform*) we end up estimating

$$\int_1^\infty s^{\beta-1} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \left| \nabla e^{-stL_A} L_A^{iu_1} L_A^\beta f \right| \left| \nabla e^{-stL_A} L_A^{iu_2} g \right| \left| e^{-tL_{A^*}} L_{A^*}^{iu_3} h \right| dx dt \right) ds.$$

for $u_{1,2,3} \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Thus the proof will be finished once we obtain
 - (i) trilinear embedding with **adequate control of the embedding constants for (sA, sB, C) in terms of $s > 0$** , and
 - (ii) boundedness of *imaginary powers of L_A* .
- Indeed we have both.

Elements of the proof of the Kato–Ponce inequality

- By *subordination to imaginary powers of L_A* (via the inversion formula for the *Mellin transform*) we end up estimating

$$\int_1^\infty s^{\beta-1} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega \left| \nabla e^{-stL_A} L_A^{iu_1} L_A^\beta f \right| \left| \nabla e^{-stL_A} L_A^{iu_2} g \right| \left| e^{-tL_{A^*}} L_{A^*}^{iu_3} h \right| dx dt \right) ds.$$

for $u_{1,2,3} \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Thus the proof will be finished once we obtain
 - (i) trilinear embedding with **adequate control of the embedding constants for (sA, sB, C) in terms of $s > 0$** , and
 - (ii) boundedness of *imaginary powers of L_A* .
- Indeed we have both.

We have been profusely using the analyticity of e^{-tL_A} and holomorphic functional calculus for L_A .

Thank you for your attention